

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

8 ALBERT G. SILVESTRE,)
9 Plaintiff(s),) Case No. 2:14-cv-01385-RFB-NJK
10 vs.) ORDER
11 MTC FINANCIAL, INC., et al.,) (Docket No. 30)
12 Defendant(s).)
13 _____

14 Pending before the Court is Defendants' proposed joint scheduling order, filed on November
15 24, 2014. Docket No. 30. Pursuant to the Local Rule 26-1(d), “[c]ounsel for plaintiff shall initiate
16 the scheduling of the Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) meeting within thirty (30) days after the first defendant
17 answers or otherwise appears.” The stipulated discovery plan is due 14 days thereafter. *See* Local
18 Rule 26-1(d). In the pending proposed joint scheduling order, Defendants represent that they
19 attempted to confer with *pro se* Plaintiff Albert G. Silvestre, but that Plaintiff failed to respond.
20 Docket No. 30, at 1. Accordingly, the proposed order (Docket No. 30) is **DENIED** without
21 prejudice and the parties are **ORDERED** to have a Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) meeting and submit a
22 stipulated discovery plan no later than December 22, 2014. The Court further **ORDERS** Plaintiff
23 to make himself available for the Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) meeting. The Court expects strict compliance
24 with all Court orders, as well as the Local Rules. The Court cautions Plaintiff that failure to do so
25 may result in sanctions pursuant to Federal Rule 16(f) and Local Rule IA 4-1.

26 DATED: November 25, 2014
27
28


NANCY J. KOPPE
United States Magistrate Judge